

Date: Mon, 21 Feb 94 16:32:27 PST  
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #186  
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest                      Mon, 21 Feb 94                      Volume 94 : Issue 186

Today's Topics:

c  
Daily Summary of Solar Geophysical Activity for 19 February  
FCC Digest  
Help-Best Antenna Feed Methods (2 msgs)  
IRC questions ...  
Isla de Omotepe, Nicaragua, DXpedition 25-27 Feb  
New 2m radio  
New QRZ! Ham Radio Callbook CDRom: \$15.95  
Ramsey debate  
RFD: rec.radio.pendantic

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

-----  
Date: 21 Feb 94 17:16:50 GMT  
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!sdd.hp.com!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!  
pipex!uknet!glasgow!unix.brighton.ac.uk!ic5@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: c  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

--  
\*\*\*\*\*  
\*  
\* There's only one way of life....                      Ian Connelly,                      \*  
\* And that's your own.....                      BSc (Hons) Computer Science Yr2.                      \*  
\*                      University of Brighton.                      \*  
\*                      ic5@uk.ac.brighton.unix                      \*

\*  
\* Forget the disclaimer, I'm always wrong. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

-----  
Date: Sun, 20 Feb 1994 17:37:28 MST  
From: nntp.cs.ubc.ca!alberta!ve6mgs!usenet@beaver.cs.washington.edu  
Subject: Daily Summary of Solar Geophysical Activity for 19 February  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

/\

DAILY SUMMARY OF SOLAR GEOPHYSICAL ACT

19 FEBRUARY, 1994

/\

(Based In-Part On SESC Observational Data)

SOLAR AND GEOPHYSICAL ACT

-----  
!!BEGIN!! (1.0) S.T.D. Solar Geophysical Data Broadcast for DAY 050, 02/19/94  
10.7 FLUX=108.0 90-AVG=106 SSN=054 BKI=3343 3333 BAI=016  
BGND-XRAY=B2.1 FLU1=4.2E+06 FLU10=1.6E+04 PKI=3344 3343 PAI=017  
BOU-DEV=028,021,040,029,023,027,038,021 DEV-AVG=028 NT SWF=00:000  
XRAY-MAX= B7.3 @ 0303UT XRAY-MIN= B1.8 @ 1844UT XRAY-AVG= B2.5  
NEUTN-MAX= +003% @ 0945UT NEUTN-MIN= -002% @ 2020UT NEUTN-AVG= +0.4%  
PCA-MAX= +0.1DB @ 1945UT PCA-MIN= -0.4DB @ 0330UT PCA-AVG= -0.0DB  
BOUTF-MAX=55351NT @ 0749UT BOUTF-MIN=55305NT @ 1827UT BOUTF-AVG=55333NT  
GOES7-MAX=P:+000NT@ 0000UT GOES7-MIN=N:+000NT@ 0000UT G7-AVG=+062,+000,+000  
GOES6-MAX=P:+123NT@ 1918UT GOES6-MIN=N:-085NT@ 0722UT G6-AVG=+088,+039,-027  
FLUXFCST=STD:110,110,115;SESC:110,110,115 BAI/PAI-FCST=010,010,015/012,010,015  
KFCST=2234 4321 1234 5321 27DAY-AP=005,003 27DAY-KP=2212 2122 2112 0111  
WARNINGS=  
ALERTS=  
!!END-DATA!!

NOTE: The Effective Sunspot Number for 18 FEB 94 was 42.0.  
The Full Kp Indices for 18 FEB 94 are: 2- 2o 2- 3- 2- 2o 1+ 3-  
The 3-Hr Ap Indices for 18 FEB 94 are: 7 8 7 14 6 8 5 12  
Greater than 2 MeV Electron Fluence for 19 FEB is: 1.0E+08

SYNOPSIS OF ACT

-----

Solar activity was very low. Little eruptive activity of any type occurred. One new Region, 7674 (S14E24) emerged to join the three other spotted regions visible. Region 7671 (N12W00) remains the dominant spot group.

Solar activity forecast: solar activity is expected to be low.

The geomagnetic field has been at unsettled to mildly active levels the past 24 hours.

Geophysical activity forecast: the geomagnetic field is expected to be mostly unsettled throughout the interval.

Event probabilities 20 feb-22 feb

|         |          |
|---------|----------|
| Class M | 05/05/05 |
| Class X | 01/01/01 |
| Proton  | 01/01/01 |
| PCAF    | Green    |

Geomagnetic activity probabilities 20 feb-22 feb

|                     |          |
|---------------------|----------|
| A. Middle Latitudes |          |
| Active              | 25/25/25 |
| Minor Storm         | 15/15/15 |
| Major-Severe Storm  | 05/05/05 |
| B. High Latitudes   |          |
| Active              | 25/25/25 |
| Minor Storm         | 15/15/25 |
| Major-Severe Storm  | 05/05/05 |

HF propagation conditions were normal over all regions, except the auroral latitudes where sporadic fading was observed. No changes are expected over the next 72 hours.

COPIES OF JOINT USAF/NOAA SESC SOLAR GEOPHYSICAL REPORTS

=====

REGIONS WIT

-----

| NMBR | LOCATION | LO  | AREA | Z   | LL | NN  | MAG   | TYPE |
|------|----------|-----|------|-----|----|-----|-------|------|
| 7670 | N09W11   | 199 | 0000 | AXX | 00 | 001 | ALPHA |      |
| 7671 | N11W00   | 188 | 0340 | CK0 | 07 | 010 | BET   |      |

7673 N08W78 266 0000 AXX 00 001 ALPHA  
 7674 S14E24 164 0000 BX0 03 002 BET  
 7669 N08W21 209 PLAGE  
 7672 N03W11 199 PLAGE  
 REGIONS DUE TO RET  
 NMBR LAT  
 7663 N13 089

LISTING OF SOLAR ENERGETIC EVENTS FOR 19 FEBRUARY, 1994

-----  
 BEGIN MAX END RGN LOC XRAY OP 245MHZ 10CM SWEEP  
 NONE

POSSIBLE CORONAL MASS EJECTION EVENTS FOR 19 FEBRUARY, 1994

-----  
 BEGIN MAX END LOCATION TYPE SIZE DUR II IV  
 NO EVENTS OBSERVED

INFERRED CORONAL HOLES. LOCATIONS VALID AT 19/2400Z

-----  
 ISOLATED HOLES AND POLAR EXT  
 EAST SOUTH WEST NORTH CAR TYPE POL AREA OBSN  
 NONE VISIBLE

SUMMARY OF FLARE EVENTS FOR THE PREVIOUS UTC DAY

-----  

| Date    | Begin | Max  | End  | Xray | Op | Region | Locn   | 2695 MHz | 8800 MHz | 15.4 GHz |
|---------|-------|------|------|------|----|--------|--------|----------|----------|----------|
| 18 Feb: | 0538  | 0542 | 0546 | C1.1 | SF | 7671   | N07E24 |          |          |          |
|         | 0912  | 0956 | 1017 | C1.1 |    |        |        |          |          |          |
|         | 1314  | 1319 | 1322 | B5.6 | SF | 7671   | N08E21 |          |          |          |
|         | 2035  | 2039 | 2044 | B4.3 |    |        |        |          |          |          |
|         | 2148  | 2152 | 2154 | B4.2 |    |        |        |          |          |          |

REGION FLARE STATISTICS FOR THE PREVIOUS UTC DAY

-----  

|               | C | M | X | S | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | Total | (%)    |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|--------|
| Region 7671:  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 002   | (40.0) |
| Uncorrelated: | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 003   | (60.0) |

Total Events: 005 optical and x-ray.

#### EVENTS WIT

-----

| Date                | Begin | Max  | End  | Xray | Op | Region | Locn  | Sweeps/Optical Observations |
|---------------------|-------|------|------|------|----|--------|-------|-----------------------------|
| -----               | ----  | ---- | ---- | ---- | -- | -----  | ----- | -----                       |
| NO EVENTS OBSERVED. |       |      |      |      |    |        |       |                             |

#### NOTES:

All times are in Universal Time (UT). Characters preceding begin, max, and end times are defined as: B = Before, U = Uncertain, A = After. All times associated with x-ray flares (ex. flares which produce associated x-ray bursts) refer to the begin, max, and end times of the x-rays. Flares which are not associated with x-ray signatures use the optical observations to determine the begin, max, and end times.

Acronyms used to identify sweeps and optical phenomena include:

|           |                                    |
|-----------|------------------------------------|
| II        | = Type II Sweep Frequency Event    |
| III       | = Type III Sweep                   |
| IV        | = Type IV Sweep                    |
| V         | = Type V Sweep                     |
| Continuum | = Continuum Radio Event            |
| Loop      | = Loop Prominence System,          |
| Spray     | = Limb Spray,                      |
| Surge     | = Bright Limb Surge,               |
| EPL       | = Eruptive Prominence on the Limb. |

\*\* End of Daily Report \*\*

-----

Date: Sun, 20 Feb 1994 22:36:55 GMT  
From: news.Hawaii.Edu!uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu!jherman@ames.arpa  
Subject: FCC Digest  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <arog.761755657@BIX.com> arog@BIX.com (arog on BIX) writes:

>  
>I'll 'third' it.  
>  
>I much appreciate the posting of info on the Commission's  
>actions to the net. I'll grant that some of it is not  
>ham.radio specific, but the overview is much needed by  
>folks here.

>

I have no opinion one way or the other, but maybe to satisfy both parties it could be posted on just rec.radio.info - that seems like a VERY appropriate forum.

Jeff NH6IL

-----  
Date: Sun, 20 Feb 94 18:32:55 -0500  
From: yale.edu!noc.near.net!news.delphi.com!usenet@yale.arpa  
Subject: Help-Best Antenna Feed Methods  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Please give me some ideas on the best ways to feed antennas through walls, windows without trashing siding, walls etc. Does anyone make an energy efficient device that you can put in a window that could feed all types of antenna lines. Does anyone have plans for such?  
Thank  
John Quist  
KA2URB

-----  
Date: 21 Feb 94 14:55:52 GMT  
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!sdd.hp.com!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!emory!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Help-Best Antenna Feed Methods  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <XI2q2K-.jqquist@delphi.com> John Quist <jquist@delphi.com> writes:  
>Please give me some ideas on the best ways to feed antennas through walls,  
>windows without trashing siding, walls etc. Does anyone make an energy  
>efficient  
>device that you can put in a window that could feed all types of antenna  
>lines. Does anyone have plans for such?

As is the case with automobile antenna installations, the best advice is to \*get out the drill\*, however, you can use a block of wood as a feedthru in a window if your lease won't let you drill the wall. Drill the wood, put it in the window, and close the window against it. If you don't mind replacing the glass when you move, you can also just \*drill the glass\* for your antenna feedthrus. (Note there are tricks to successfully drilling glass. If you don't know them, consult someone who does.)

Gary

--

|                             |  |              |  |                          |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------|--|--------------------------|
| Gary Coffman KE4ZV          |  | You make it, |  | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary |
| Destructive Testing Systems |  | we break it. |  | uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary  |
| 534 Shannon Way             |  | Guaranteed!  |  | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary   |
| Lawrenceville, GA 30244     |  |              |  |                          |

-----

Date: Mon, 21 Feb 1994 21:08:16 GMT

From: library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!howland.reston.ans.net!cs.utexas.edu!  
asuvax!pitstop.mcd.mot.com!mcdphx!schbbs!waters.corp.mot.com.corp.mot.com!  
user@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: IRC questions ...

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <CLL1qB.KBs@rd1.InterLan.COM>, tavernin@sun1.interlan.com  
(Victor Tavernini) wrote:

> How many Internation Reply Coupons are need for return postage of a  
> QSL card ... does the number of IRCs differ from country to country?  
> or is one IRC all that is necessary to send?

One IRC is first class (surface) postage from any IPU country to the US.  
Note that not all countries belong to the IPU (International Postal Union).

For most countries you really want air mail, and that is where things get  
difficult. The Air Mail rate ranges from 2 to 10 IRCs depending on the  
country (of course the ones where surface mail is awfull want 10 IRCs :-)

This changes from time to time, so you need to check the K6JO (?) QSL  
managewr list or a recent callbook for the latest info.

BTW \*never\* buy IRCs fromthe post office, send a check for \$10 to a QSL  
manager and ask to buy some IRCs - he gets more than the post office will  
give him and they cost you less! When I last did that they were around 30  
cents apiece that way.

Good DX! Mike AA4MW

-----

Date: Sun, 20 Feb 94 12:51:46 GMT

From: agate!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!nigel.msen.com!caen!nic.umass.edu!usenet@ames.arpa

Subject: Isla de Omotepe, Nicaragua, DXpedition 25-27 Feb

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

OMETEPE (NICARAGUA) 94 DX EXPEDITION

February 25,26,27, 1994

The island of Ometepe is located in Lake Nicaragua, the third largest lake in Latin America. It is said to be the largest island in the world within a lake. The island is dominated by two volcanos, 1610 meter Concepcion, and 1394 meter Madera, and has a population of 35,000 people living within its 266 square km. area.

The following is excerpted and translated from a message from YN1TV to N1AW (YN1ASW):

On the island of Ometepe there are no radio amateurs, which is a big problem for those OMs who want a QSO with all the islands of the world. This is the reason we are going to invade Ometepe and attempt to put it on the air on HF using packet, RTTY, CW, and SSB. The expedition will camp at the place known as San Marcos, 8 km from Moyogalpa, on the shore of the Great Lake of Nicaragua. It is an ideal location for experiments with antennas.

The expedition will be on the air from 0000 UTC Friday February 25, 1994 until 1100 UTC Sunday February 27.

The call for this DXpedition will be H700 Hotel Seven Zero Oscar, which has been officially solicited for the Club de Radio Experimentadores de Nicaragua (CREN).

QSL INFORMATION: H700

Postbox 4636  
Managua, Nicaragua  
2 Greenstamps for QSL  
4 Greenstamps for Diploma

Organizadores del evento DX Expedition Ometepe 94:

|                           |           |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| Humberto Diaz S.          | YN7DS     |
| Reneiro Montiel Jr.       | YN1RS     |
| Manuel Navarro            | YN1ABL    |
| Juan de la Cruz Rodriguez | YN1JRP    |
| Jose Antonio Roman        | YN5JAR    |
| Theo Vlaar                | YN1TV     |
| Inge Vlaar                | XYL YN1TV |
| Douglas Arias Conzaes     | YN5ZDC    |

Para mas informacion:  
CREN, Postbox 925, Managua, Nicaragua  
Tel: 505-2-71274/74937

Humberto Diaz S. YN1DS



Postbox 159, Masaya, Nicaragua  
Tel. : 505-52-4861  
FAX. : 505-52-3826

Theo Vlaar YN1TV  
Villa Fontana Norte #67, Managua, Nicaragua  
Tel: 505-2-73512  
E-Mail: theo@nicarao.apc.org  
theo@theo.radio.ni

-----  
Date: 21 Feb 94 15:24:24 GMT  
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!sdd.hp.com!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!  
europa.eng.gtefsd.com!emory!rsiat1!ke4zv!gary@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: New 2m radio  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <dward.761767686@wyvern.wyvern.com> dward@.infi.net (Dale Ward) writes:  
>OK. I need some advice. I'm returning to the air after a long absence and  
>would like recommendations on what type of 2m vhf-fm radio to purchase. I  
>desire portability, so that probably means an HT. In addition to brand  
>names, what features should I get -- at a minimum, as well as "nice to have"  
>for future operation. I travel by vehicle around the SE US, so will need to  
>be able to access many different repeaters. So, what do you say?

If you travel in your own, or your company's, car, you'll be much happier,  
as will all those who have to listen to your signals, if you install a  
mobile rig and proper antenna rather than trying to make do with a HT.  
If you use rentals, you may be stuck with a HT, or a "bagphone" equivalent  
consisting of a small mobile rig such as the Icom IC229A, gell cell,  
cigarette plug adaptor, and a magmount antenna. You won't have satisfactory  
results with just the rubber dummy load that comes on HTs except in rare  
locations, and their low power, susceptibility to intermod when used with  
an outside antenna, and low audio output will make you miserable in the car.

With the proliferation of 440 MHz activity in much of the country, you  
may also want to consider a dualband radio. I consider Alinco the value  
leader for function per dollar, but Yaesu and Icom also make good radios.  
I don't particularly like Kenwood VHF radios due to a history of problems,  
but others seem to think they're acceptable. If you're set on a 2 meter  
only HT, then the Radio Shack HTX202 is an excellent value with good  
intermod rejection. Most of the others on the market today try to pretend  
that they're GP scanners as well as two way radios, and thus suffer the  
problems inherent in wide receiver front ends.

My choice in my vehicle is the Alinco 590, and my HT is the Yaesu FT470.

Both perform acceptably for the uses to which I put them. I wouldn't consider using the HT in the car, however, since it's wide front end causes it to suffer extreme intermod when used on an external antenna.

Gary

--

|                             |  |              |  |                          |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------|--|--------------------------|
| Gary Coffman KE4ZV          |  | You make it, |  | gatech!wa4mei!ke4zv!gary |
| Destructive Testing Systems |  | we break it. |  | uunet!rsiatl!ke4zv!gary  |
| 534 Shannon Way             |  | Guaranteed!  |  | emory!kd4nc!ke4zv!gary   |
| Lawrenceville, GA 30244     |  |              |  |                          |

-----

Date: Mon, 21 Feb 1994 00:07:13 GMT  
From: olivea!sgigate.sgi.com!odin!chuck.dallas.sgi.com!adams@ames.arpa  
Subject: New QRZ! Ham Radio Callbook CDRom: \$15.95  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I got the QRZ CDRom a month ago on the internet "special" offered by Fred. This was the best use of money for ham radio for under \$20.

This CDRom should give Buckmaster and the others a run for their money, since they are at the \$50 level.

I use the UNIX (tm) version of the callbook. During one run of 25 calls that I looked up for QSLs to stations worked during a QRP contest, it took less than 3 seconds on a workstation. I did this by creating a script with the lines  
call k5fjz k5jkb ... (for five calls)  
call xxxxxx xxxx xxx

with five calls per line, since the program halts every five for <cr> as it assumes it's in an interactive mode from a terminal.

I plan on submitting the data base for ALL 180,000+ US cities and a C program to do great-circle bearing calculations for the next versions of the QRZ CDRom. The data base alone is more than 5MB!!!

Anyway, my \$0.02 worth of publicity. I'm NOT paid for this endorsement, just another happy satisfied customer.

There are a lot of other programs and data bases on the ROM, but I haven't had time to play with them yet.

dit dit

--

Chuck Adams K5FO CP-60  
adams@sgi.com

-----

Date: 21 Feb 94 17:34:18 GMT  
From: nprdc!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!sdd.hp.com!vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!howland.reston.ans.net!  
cs.utexas.edu!not-for-mail@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Ramsey debate  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

Haven't felt it was appropriate to get back in the middle of the debate, but I was very offended at the comments made directly at me. People on the network and across the country know me.. I have never had anyone call me a liar, and to my knowledge haven't had any problems with anyone I have personally dealt with (giving and receiving information, and buying and selling equipments). I have people calling many nights during the week to ask for help and ask general questions.. haven't had a problem with any of them.

I am always most willing to "look in the mirror". Been happy with what I see. What I related on the net was a documented experience I had with John Ramsey, no more, no less. It was based on facts not fiction and had nothing to do with his personal being outside the realm of my experience with him. When I called him a "liar" I think I specifically related the lie he told me.. this seemed reasonable. I was also very offended that he was saying things to customers on the phone that were negative about me (also documented). In contrast, I don't know of an instance where I have lied to ANYONE... it is not something I think is a good attribute and never do it knowingly. I think I was not negative about the building of the Ramsey kit and remember specifically saying I thought the building of it was in fact fun up till the final alignment (so please be accurate when you attempt to call someone a liar with absolutely no information).

I know I am not in the least guilty of any immoral or unprofessional behavior...and think it may be time to let this drop.. I was just trying to relate an experience to help people avoid problems I personally encountered. I have heard MANY other people relate problems with this company or I would have not said anything to start with.

73.. this is suppose to be a supportive, fun hobby .. isn't it.

Jeff, AC4HF (and proud of it)

-----

Date: Mon, 21 Feb 94 17:45:50 GMT  
From: netcomsv!netcomsv!skylld!jangus@decwrl.dec.com  
Subject: RFD: rec.radio.pendantic  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <CLJo0C.9t6@news.Hawaii.Edu> jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu spews:

[ nothing of importance as usual ]  
>  
> Jeff NH6IL  
>

For Christs sake Jeff. Give it a fucking rest.

I voted for Bush both times, how about accusing me of murder since I had a hand in electing the Commander in Chief that ordered Desert Storm?

By the way, lists of frequencies used by various agencies are published in books and magazines in most electronics type stores. How about telling me that Radio Shack promotes jamming the police. Heh?

Tom Kneitel (spelling?) pushes his frequency compendium by splattering the word SECRET in 3" high caps across the cover. How about it? Is he promoting jamming various services? Perhaps instead he could be accused of aiding and abetting drug dealers by publishing the DEA comm channels.

Since you seem to have to have the last word, and constantly stir up shit over non-issues how about we create a new newsgroup for you.

rec.radio.pendantic

Simple and efficient. You can cover amateur, CB and commercial without cross-posting. You might even get a few of the others with a flair to the verbose to join you over there.

Bottomline disclaimer for the humor impaired. Yup, I used the F-word. If you're too lazy to scan the news before forwarding it onto the RF via packet, it's your problem, not mine. Re-read your copy of part 97.

Side Note: How many remember Uncle Toms Cabin in Popular Electronics?

|  |  |                              |
|--|--|------------------------------|
| Amateur: WA6FWI@WA6FWI.#SOCA.CA.USA.NA |  | "You have a flair for adding |
| Internet: jangus@skylld.grendel.com    |  | a fanciful dimension to any  |
| US Mail: PO Box 4425 Carson, CA 90749  |  | story."                      |
| Phone: 1 (310) 324-6080                |  | Peking Noodle Co.            |

-----

Date: Sun, 20 Feb 1994 22:33:48 GMT  
From: news.Hawaii.Edu!uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu!jherman@ames.arpa  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <1994Feb3.190229.8136@arrl.org>, <x8yqthx.jramsey@delphi.com>,  
<2jqtltnnkan@abyss.West.Sun.COM>  
Subject : Re: RAMSEY FX TRANSCEIVER

In article <2jqtltnnkan@abyss.West.Sun.COM> myers@pongo.West.Sun.COM (Dana Myers ) writes:

> .....

>By the way, be sure to read alt.radio.pirate; .....

\_NOW\_ I understand your stance, Dana; now I understand why you continue to come to the defense of suspected illegals.

Hmmm, I wonder if there might be a bit of piracy in your past. That might explain your defending those I chastise for promoting illegal operations. Maybe you're feeling a little guilty?

And don't say ``Get over it Jeff'' because now I will always suspect your past.

Jeff NH6IL

-----

Date: Sun, 20 Feb 1994 23:46:57 GMT  
From: news.Hawaii.Edu!uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu!jherman@ames.arpa  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <2k3apaINNmjf@abyss.West.Sun.COM>, <CLIIwE.Luv@news.Hawaii.Edu>,  
<2k8eh3INNnac@abyss.West.Sun.COM>  
Subject : Re: Help me (was Re: Spectral purity of 2m tx (was Re: RAMSEY FX TRANSCEIVER

In article <2k8eh3INNnac@abyss.West.Sun.COM> myers@pongo.West.Sun.COM (Dana Myers ) writes:

>In article <CLIIwE.Luv@news.Hawaii.Edu> jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman) writes:

>>In article <2k3apaINNmjf@abyss.West.Sun.COM> myers@pongo.West.Sun.COM (Dana Myers ) writes:

>>>

>>>Anyway, comparing 1kW on 11m to the amateur rules is pointless.

>>>Amateurs have historically been very proud of a strict compliance

>>>to the rules, and frequently ridicule 11m folks for not complying

>>>with the appropriate rules. I should hope you aren't condoning the  
>>>operation of radios with illegal harmonic outputs on sensitive aero bands.  
>>  
>>Why not? You'll defend a pirate who posts 6MHz air-to-ground  
>>frequencies on rec.radio.cb in answer to a query posted by an other  
>>pirate as to what frequencies other illegals were using outside of  
>>11M. Don't sound so high and mighty on here Dana while at the same time  
>>defend illegals posting (inviting?) pirate operation on air-to-ground  
>>channels.  
>  
>This really isn't worth a response, much less one to the Usenet, but  
>I'll make one attempt. Jeff Herman has engaged in pattern of attempting  
>inflame newsgroups, by posting such inflammatory notes. This is my  
>only response, since my experience with people exhibiting the conduct  
>I see in Jeff is that they'll go away if you ignore them.

Nice try Dana, but you're the one who seems to follow me from one news-  
group to another commenting on MY posts. You're the one who wants to  
play the role of Public Defender. Inflame newsgroups? Nope. But I'm  
not going to hide my head when I suspect someone has broken the law.

Recall I was a radio officer in the U.S. Coast Guard. I am VERY sensitive  
when I suspect foul play in the air. For years at Group Monterey Station  
we had to put up with jamming on 2182 kHz (world-wide MF voice distress  
frequency) and occasionally even on VHF ch16. I didn't put up with it  
then and I won't put up with it now, whether it occurs on or off  
the ham bands.

If you see me chastising someone for suspected illegal operation, feel  
free to hit the 'n' key. But If you're going to attack me, expect to  
receive a few comments yourself.

It seems ``you can dish it out but you can't take it''.

>  
>For what it is worth, on rec.radio.cb, I \*did\* post note suggesting  
>that Jeff's threat to report an SWL to the ITU was frivolous. In fact,  
>I attempted to point out that there was no evidence that this alleged  
>"pirate" Jeff talks about was anything but an SWL.

who was posting the 6MHz air-to-ground frequencies as a  
place for pirates to transmit on.

>>Oh, and let's not forget your coming to Shoup's defense (December) after  
>>I chastised him for stating he was going to put a 5kw xmtr on the ham  
>>bands.

>

>Mike Shoupe did state he had a couple of 5KW AM transmitters he intended  
>to place on the ham bands, when his license permitted. From the context,  
>it was suggested that Shoupe intends to abide by the regulations of his  
>license class. Next thing we know, Jeff Herman is posting a series of

Sorry but ``from the context'' it was never suggested that Shoupe intends  
to abide by any regulation. Recall he took delight in bragging about  
his illegal past activities.

>notes condemning Shoupe as an "outlaw" and "scofflaw". It is certainly

Yep. He's the one who bragged about his outlaw activities.

>the case that a high-powered transmitter may be operated at reduced power,  
>often with improved performance. It is also the case that Mr. Shoupe may  
>not yet be aware the AM power limits are less than the oft talked about  
>1.5KW output limit.

>

>>Make up your mind as to which side of the law you stand on.

>

>I stand on the side of compliance and respect for the rights of  
>individuals. For some reason, Herman feels compelled to carry on  
>a campaign against any Usenet poster who even suggests something that  
>is contrary to law, and Jeff feels compelled to carry on a campaign  
>especially against me, on several occasions quoting me out of context

No Myers, you're the one with a vendetta. ``Get over it.''

>and making completely false assertions with regards to me.

Not true. ``... completely false assertions...' ' is completely false.

>

>For the record, I oppose any kind of illegal radio operation.

>

>For the record, I oppose the violation of legal and ethical rights  
>of people.

Good. Then disregard this need you have of coming to the rescue of  
those who I suspect and chastise for illegal operations. Let them  
defend themselves. Twice on rec.radio.cb you've felt the need  
to defend someone (the linear amp thread and the 6MHz air-to-ground  
frequencies post) that I took exception to. Leave me alone and  
I'll do the same.

>

>If Mr. Herman wishes to condemn me for this stance, fine. However,  
>I do not believe this kind of personal vendetta is appropriate for

Hmmm, when I mentioned the personal vendetta you had against me back in December, you're response was, I believe, 'get over it'. Again, if you want a truce then let the suspected illegals defend themselves.

>a newsgroup. If you have further questions or comments on the  
>issue, please feel free to write to me at:  
>  
>Dana.Myers@West.Sun.Com  
>  
>If you have any questions or comments for Jeff Herman, his e-mail  
>address is:  
>  
>jherman@Hawaii.Edu  
>  
>Thank you,  
>Dana

73 Dana,  
Jeff NH6IL

-----  
End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #186  
\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*